



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                 | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/632,980   | 08/04/2003  | Richard C. Everett   | 03KS01                              | 2989             |
| 7590   | 08/04/2004  |                      |                                     |                  |
| Edward E. Roberts<br>P.O. Box 3206<br>Dana Point, CA 92629 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>3683                    | PAPER NUMBER     |

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |                         |  |  |
|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b>  | Application No.         | Applicant(s)   |  |
|   | 10/632,980              | EVERETT ET AL.   |  |
|   | Examiner                | Art Unit   |  |
|   | Christopher P. Schwartz | 3683   |  |
| -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --   |                         |  |  |
| <b>Period for Reply</b>   |                         |  |  |
| <b>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</b>  |                         |  |  |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> |                         |  |  |
| <b>Status</b>   |                         |  |  |
| <p>1)<input type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.</p> <p>2a)<input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL.                            2b)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.</p> <p>3)<input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</p>   |                         |  |  |
| <b>Disposition of Claims</b>  |                         |  |  |
| <p>4)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.</p> <p>4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.</p> <p>5)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.</p> <p>6)<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.</p> <p>7)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.</p> <p>8)<input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</p>  |                         |  |  |
| <b>Application Papers</b>   |                         |  |  |
| <p>9)<input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</p> <p>10)<input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a)<input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b)<input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</p> <p>11)<input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</p>   |                         |  |  |
| <b>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</b>   |                         |  |  |
| <p>12)<input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</p> <p>a)<input type="checkbox"/> All    b)<input type="checkbox"/> Some * c)<input type="checkbox"/> None of:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">1.<input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">2.<input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">3.<input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</p>   |                         |  |  |
| <p>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</p>   |                         |  |  |
| <b>Attachment(s)</b>  |                         |  |  |
| <p>1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</p> <p>2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</p> <p>3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.</p>   |                         | <p>4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.</p> <p>5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)</p> <p>6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.</p> |  |

  
CHRISTOPHER P. SCHWARTZ  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irvine in view of Everett '959 and Smith '747 or Penney.

Regarding claim Irvine shows in figure 3 a brake pad similar to applicants. Note the brake pad means 28 and the pad at 12.

Irvine lacks a showing of multiple brake pads having different braking compounds in sequential abutting relationship. Irvine also lacks closure means

for complementary mating with the truncated end to secure the brake pads within the brake pad means.

Everett '959 is relied upon to show it is well known to create brake pads having different compounds for desired braking and wear characteristics. See figure 5.

Smith is relied upon to show it is known in the art to make a brake pad or shoe from multiple parts. See elements 2. Note also the closure means at 9 and 10.

Penney is relied upon for a teaching similar to Smith. Note the closure means in the area of c and f.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have made the different pad sections shown in figure 3 of Irvine from multiple abutting pad sections having differing compounds, as taught collectively by Everett and Smith or Penney, to achieve intended brake and wear characteristics desired from the pad.

To make the multiple pads easier to assemble, and replace, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have made a matching section, or cap, to fit over the open end at 34 and secured as claimed, as generally suggested by the closure means in either Smith or Penney. Such a modification would simply amount to an alternate equivalent means (albeit a more expensive one) of securing the pads within the means 28 as shown by Irvine at 38.

Regarding claims 2,4 as explained above and as seen in the drawings of the references applied these requirements are met.

Regarding claim 3 simply to make the pad means with a longitudinal curvature in conformance to that of the wheel rim would have been obvious to achieve optimum braking results. Note the curvatures in Smith and Penney.

Regarding claims 5,6 as broadly claimed, these requirements are met.

Regarding claim 7 these limitations are simply an obvious variation of what is collectively taught by the references above. Also see the discussion columns 1 and 2 of Irvine.

Regarding claims 8-20 as explained above these limitations are taught collectively by the combination of the references above.

### ***Conclusion***

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited have been cited for showing similarities to applicant's invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps  
8/2/04



CHRISTOPHER P. SCHWARTZ  
PRIMARY EXAMINER